

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/071,071	PAZIENZA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Urmi Chattopadhyay	3738	

All Participants:
Status of Application: After-Final before interview

 (1) Urmi Chattopadhyay.

(3) _____.

 (2) Richard J. Sterner.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2004
Time: 5:10pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

 If Yes, provide a brief description: *None.*
Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
None
Claims discussed:


2

Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed changing "expandable" to —helical— with respect to the first and second segments in claim 2 in order to be more consistent with the claim terminology used in claim 1, on which it depends.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)